PR#9833

Case 4:05-cv-00329-GKF-PJC Document 1531-18 Filed in USDC ND/OK on 02/12/2008 Page 1 of 28

ZHANG, HAILIN

1/16/2008

1 1 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OKLAHOMA 2 STATE OF OKLAHOMA, ex rel. 3 W. A. DREW EDMONDSON, in his capacity as ATTORNEY GENERAL 4 OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA and OKLAHOMA SECRETARY OF THE 5 ENVIRONMENT C. MILES TOLBERT, in his capacity as the TURSTEE FOR NATURAL RESOURCES FOR 6 THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA, 7 Plaintiffs, 8 05-CV-0329 GKF-SAJ vs. 9 TYSON FOODS, INC., TYSON 10 POULTRY, INC., TYSON CHICKEN, INC., COBB-VANTRESS, INC., 11 AVIAGEN, INC., CAL-MAINE FOODS, INC., CAL-MAINE FARMS, INC., 12 CARGILL, INC., CARGILL TURKEY PRODUCTION, LLC, GEORGE'S, INC., 13 GEORGE'S FARMS, INC., PETERSON FARMS, INC., SIMMONS FOODS, INC., and WILLOW BROOK FOODS, INC., 15 Defendants. 16 17 VIDEO DEPOSITION OF HAILIN ZHANG, Ph.D. 18 TAKEN ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANTS 19 JANUARY 16, 2008, BEGINNING AT 9:00 A.M. IN OKLAHOMA CITY, OKLAHOMA 20 21 22 23 24 25 REPORTED BY: Laura L. Robertson, CSR, RPR

PR#9833

#### ZHANG, HAILIN

1/16/2008

1 **APPEARANCES** 2 On behalf of the PLAINTIFFS: 3 J. TREVOR HAMMONS 4 Attorney at Law 5 Oklahoma Attorney General's Office 6 313 Northeast 21st Street Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73105 7 405-521-3921 thammons@oaq.state.ok.us 8 On behalf of the PLAINTIFFS: 9 Robert A. Nance, Attorney at Law RIGGS, ABNEY, NEAL, TURPEN, ORBISON & LEWIS 10 5801 North Broadway Extension Suite 101 11 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73118 405-843-9909 12 rgarren@riggsabney.com 13 On behalf of the DEFENDANT-TYSON FOODS: 14 Paula M. Buchwald, Attorney at Law 15 RYAN WHALEY COLDIRON SHANDY 119 North Robinson. 16 Suite 900 Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102 17 405-239-6040 sjantzen@ryanwhaley.com 18 On behalf of the DEFENDANT-GEORGE'S, INC. 19 James M. Graves BASSETT LAW FIRM 20 221 North College Avenue P.O. Box 3618 21 Fayetteville, Arkansas 72702 479-521-9996 22 jgraves@bassettlawfirm.com 23 24 25

ZHANG, HAILIN

1/16/2008

```
1
      (APPEARANCES CONTINUED)
2
3
     On behalf of the DEFENDANT-CAL-MAINE FARMS:
4
     Robert E. Sanders, Attorney at Law
5
     YOUNG & WILLIAMS
     P.O. Box 23059
6
     601-3609013
     rsanders@youngwilliams.com
7
     On behalf of the DEFENDANT-CARGILL, INC.:
8
     Colin Tucker, Attorney at Law
9
     RHODES, HIERONYMUS, JONES, TUCKER & GABLE
     100 West 5th Street
10
     Suite 400
     Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103
11
     918-582-1173
     jtucker@rhodesokla.com
12
     On behalf of the DEFENDANT-PETERSON FARMS:
13
     A. Scott McDaniel, Attorney at Law
     MCDANIEL, HIXON, LONGWELL & ACORD
14
     320 South Boston
     Suite 700
15
     Tulsa, Oklahoma 74103
     918-382-9200
16
     phixon@mcdaniel-lawfirm.com
17
     On behalf of the DEFENDANT-SIMMONS FOODS, INC.:
     Bruce W. Freeman, Attorney at Law
18
     CONNER & WINTERS
     211 North Robinson, Suite 1700
19
     Oklahoma City, Oklahoma 73102
     918-586-5711
20
     bfreeman@cwlaw.com
21
22
23
24
25
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## ZHANG, HAILIN

1/16/2008

30

Sometimes they ask a state specialist or area specialist to give a presentation on particular So I have been involved in some of these trainings as well.

- And you said you developed half of the nine Q. hour program. Who else was involved in that program?
- Α. It is a team effort, like Mike Smolen, Dr. Mike Smolen, Dr. Doug Hamilton, Dr. Jim Britton, he left Oklahoma State University. Then many county extension, we call educators, or area economic specialists.
- In what part of this nine hour program were you responsible for developing?
- Mostly directly related to land application poultry litter. Like how to take a soil sample, how to analyze poultry litter, how much to apply, when to apply, what kind of best practices are needed to prevent nutrient loss from field received poultry litter.
- Aside from transferring that program from a Q. PowerPoint to a DVD at some point, have you modified the portion that you prepared, you initially prepared in any way over time?
- No, we have not revised it, since it transformed to a DVD.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## ZHANG, HAILIN

1/16/2008

- Q. Well, had you revised it at any point whenever it was on PowerPoint? In other words, you prepared a PowerPoint eight or nine years ago?
  - Α. Right.
- At any point in time, were you making Q. modifications or tinkering with that PowerPoint?
- We just give updates during our three Α. No. hours training, if there is new information available.
  - Q. Verbal updates?
- Verbal or written, like fact sheets, some Α. other extension compilations.
- So if it was in writing, it would be typically, are you saying it would be in the form of a facts sheet, an extension service facts sheet?
  - Α. Right, uh-huh.
- When it was transferred from PowerPoint to Q. DVD or modifications made at that point, other than in the manner that the information was delivered, rather than being on PowerPoint, it was now on DVD, did you change any of the substantive information?
- There was no substantial change, except in the form of delivery.
- Okay. When it was a PowerPoint, would you Q. actually go in person and present the PowerPoint, or would someone else -- did the county extension agents,

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

# **ZHANG, HAILIN**

1/16/2008

32

for example, have that PowerPoint available to them and they could just show your PowerPoint?

- A. The county personnel did majority of the direct training to producers, but we trained them first. We call that training the trainers, basically. So we had annual meetings to prepare our county personnel to do their training.
- Q. Are you still involved in training the county extension service folks?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Would that include initial training for new county agents; in other words, if they employed someone today, would you be involved at some point potentially in training that person?
  - A. Yes, I think so.
- Q. And is that involvement simply through they view the information that you have put together, or you actually personally at some point interacting with new county extension agents to train them?
- A. We all go through the nine hour training with them, but they have their DVD and we have shorter sessions to, just to bring them up-to-date. If they have questions, they can contact us any time.
- Q. With regard to county agents in the Illinois River Watershed, would you also be involved in

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

training those county agents?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And that would include the availability of this nine hour DVD and also these personal training sessions, periodic training sessions you have described?
  - A. Right.
- Q. How often do you conduct or participate in training sessions for county extension agents, in other words, in training the trainers as you have put it?
  - A. Annually? Probably once or twice a year.
- Q. Would those occur on any type of regular basis; in other words, is it always in January and June, or can it just vary?
- A. Varies. It is not fixed on a particular time.
- Q. Are there also update sessions or continuing education sessions that you're involved in for county extension agents who have already gone through the initial training process?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Do these occur at the same annual or semiannual meetings you have already described?
  - A. Yes. Normally they come together. Whether

ZHANG, HAILIN

1/16/2008

it is their new or old. So they do need some refresh course.

- Q. But these types of meetings are separate and distinct from your participation from time to time in meetings and updates for poultry operators or litter applicators; correct?
- A. We don't particularly target a specific watershed or specific species of animals. So we give them general training in nutrient management or animal waste. People from Guymon or any place in the state are welcome to participate in that.

But of course most of agents from eastern part of the state always attending those meetings.

- Q. So when you train county extension agents or are involved in their training, it is dealing with manure from all different types of animals?
  - A. Right.
- Q. And what specifics with regard to animal manure are you training them on whenever you are involved in these meetings, either, you know, whether it is to -- I understand you said they are all together, but whether it is refresher for somebody who's already been an extension agent or whether it is an initial training session for a new agent, what specifics are you dealing with in that training

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

#### ZHANG, HAILIN

1/16/2008

36

with land application?

- A. Right.
- Q. And I think you said soil samples, litter analysis, how to apply, when to apply and best management practices?
- A. Right. Basically those are the components of nutrient management plan. So we try to help them how to develop a nutrient management plan, how to follow or understand nutrient management plans.
- Q. Do you have an opinion about whether poultry litter, let's just talk about poultry litter, has an agricultural value to a farmer?
- A. Yes, I do. Poultry litter contain nutrients, organic matter. So it is a beneficial by-product to agriculture.
- Q. And I asked you about an agricultural value.
  Do you have an opinion about whether it has an economic value to a poultry grower?
  - A. Just to poultry grower?
- Q. Or to someone who might use poultry litter on their land.
- A. It depends on distance. If it is close enough, yes, there is economic benefits. If it has to be transported too far, they may lose that benefits.
  - Q. Have you ever attempted to calculate or do

2.4

#### ZHANG, HAILIN

1/16/2008

you feel like it is within your bailiwick, so to speak, on any type of opinion about the amount of poultry litter that's generated in the Illinois River Watershed?

- A. No.
- Q. And the same question with regard to the amount of poultry litter that's land applied in the Illinois River Watershed, either historically or currently, have you ever attempted to calculate that?
  - A. No.
- Q. Have you ever attempted to calculate the amount of poultry litter that's transported out of the Illinois River Watershed, either historically or currently?
- A. No. We don't do actual work just for watershed, so I don't have any information pertaining to a specific area. State-wide responsibility is what we are taking.
- Q. Well, have you ever attempted to calculate the amount of poultry litter generated in the state of Oklahoma?
- A. I didn't. We just got the statistics from Oklahoma Department of Agriculture, I think, and they have a summary sometimes they send to us, I don't know. I have not attempted to calculate.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

39

whole state of Oklahoma?

- I don't remember exactly.
- Well, as opposed to it being like for a Q. specific watershed?
  - I knew for sure it is not by watershed. Α.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever attempted to calculate the value in terms of commercial fertilizer equivalencies of the nitrogen that's contained in poultry litter?
  - Yes, I did. Α.
- And do you have any opinions in regard to Q. that?
- To the value of nitrogen in the poultry Α. litter?
  - Right. Q. In terms of commercial fertilizer equivalency?
    - Α. Yes.
    - What is your opinion in that regard? Q.
  - Poultry litter typically contains about 60 Α. pounds of nitrogen per ton. Currently the nitrogen, commercial nitrogen, costs about 50 cents a pound. So that would be equivalent to close to \$30 a ton, just for nitrogen.
  - Q. What about with regard to phosphorous, the same question with regard to phosphorous?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

ZHANG, HAILIN

1/16/2008

- Α. Yes, we can do the same calculation. Poultry litter contains about also 60 pounds phosphate per ton. Phosphate, it may cost about 40 cents a pound right now, I don't know exactly. It changes every day. So the value could be over 20 a ton for phosphorous.
- Have you ever attempted to calculate the Q. cost of transporting poultry litter over any particular distance on a per mile basis or some other basis?
- But somebody did calculation, I I have not. don't remember who did it. Estimated \$2 per mile per Mack truck. That's some number stuck in my mind, but that's several years ago. Now the gas and oil price went up, probably that no longer holds.
  - So you think that's probably gone up? Q.
  - Α. I think so.
- Do you have any idea who it was that Q. prepared that information that you recall seeing, the two dollars per mile per Mack truck?
  - I don't recall. Α.
  - Was it someone at Oklahoma State? Q.
  - I don't remember. Must be an economist. Α.
- Q. Okay. Do you know how long ago it was that you saw that, you said several years?

333 ZHANG, HAILIN

1/16/2008

- A. Yes, that's over five years ago.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any estimate of how much a Mack truck would hold, how much litter?
  - A. 20 to 25 tons per truck.
  - Q. Does the weight of poultry litter vary?
- A. Yes. Depending on the moisture content or how compact it is.
- Q. Does it depend on what type of bird is being raised in the particular poultry house?
- A. I think so. Also depend on the ratio of how much bedding material they use. Different birds may create some difference, too, like a lay hen might be different from a boiler chicken litter. But I don't have specific number for them.
- Q. In your opinion, based on your experience of poultry litter, if one was attempting to calculate the tonnage of poultry litter in a particular area, would they have to account for these factors, moisture content and the type of bird and how compact it is and those types of factors you have listed?
- A. No, they just need to analyze the litter, and then take the as-is value, so not correcting for moisture and other variabilities.
- Q. Well, I guess my question is, for example, if someone is trying to calculate the amount of

poultry litter for an entire watershed, are these factors you have listed, moisture, compactness, type of different birds, are those things that ought to be accounted for in some fashion?

- A. Yes, if they want to get accurate account, uh-huh.
- Q. If growers in the Illinois River Watershed or anywhere in Oklahoma, could no longer use litter in any respect, land application of litter, do you have an opinion about what the value of poultry litter would be in that particular area at that point? Would it have any value?
  - A. To other farmers?
- Q. Let's talk about economic value to the poultry grower, if that poultry grower can no longer spread the litter, does it any longer have any economic value for him?
- A. No. If -- unless they find alternative use. They could use as an energy source or do something else to market it. Otherwise there is no value as a nutrient source, if they cannot apply.
- Q. Are you aware of any current economically feasible alternative uses of poultry litter in Oklahoma, other than using it as a fertilizer, as it stands right now?

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ZHANG, HAILIN

1/16/2008

- Α. No, I'm not aware of any.
- We have talked about the cost of transporting it and some numbers that you saw quite some time back. Is there any such alternative use within what you would consider a reasonable distance to transport poultry litter from the Illinois River Watershed?
- Well, Illinois River Watershed is a big Α. watershed. I don't know where to start. But in my opinion, you should not transport more than 100 miles from the source. If you do, you may lose the value of that.
- And are you aware of any alternative sources of, or uses of poultry litter within 100 miles of that area, as you sit here right now? I know you haven't researched it.
- Well, there are plenty of lands that Α. actually need phosphorous, even in the Illinois River Watershed, or surrounding areas. They just need to test the soil, find out where the phosphorous is.
- So you believe that there are within the Illinois River Watershed other -- let's use a hypothetical. If I'm a poultry grower and my nutrient management plan does not allow me to put poultry litter on my particular farm, are you telling me that

#### ZHANG, HAILIN

1/16/2008

determined by phosphorous, the phosphorous level in poultry litter?

- A. It is based on soil testing, the field conditions first whether they can apply or cannot apply. And then based on the phosphorous of the poultry litter, to determine how much they can apply.
- Q. When you say soil test and field conditions, is that also the phosphorous level in the soil in that field?
  - A. Yes, mostly.
- Q. As opposed to some other nutrient, I'm saying?
- A. Right. The other field conditions may apply, too, such as slope. If it is a greater than 15 percent, it is not allowed to apply. If it is too shallow or the groundwater level is too high. If it is a frozen ground or snow covered, also not allowed to apply.
- Q. But just discussing nutrients, is the phosphorous level in the soil and the litter what governs in the nutrient management plan, whether or not they can put down litter and how much litter they can put down?
- A. According to NRCS 590 standard, yes, that's correct.

1	Q. And that's the Oklahoma NRCS 590 standard?
2	A. Right, it is called Nutrient Management
3	Guidelines.
4	Q. And that has also been incorporated into the
5	Oklahoma law; correct?
6	MR. NANCE: Object to the form. Calls for a
7	legal conclusion.
8	Q. (BY MR. GRAVES) To your knowledge, has that
9	been incorporated into Oklahoma law?
10	MR. NANCE: If you know the answer, you can
11	give it.
12	THE WITNESS: I don't know the relationship
13	of that, but Oklahoma Department of Agriculture
14	accepts that standard, I think.
15	Q. (BY MR. GRAVES) Okay. To your knowledge,
16	the plan writers in Oklahoma, do they use 590 in
17	developing the nutrient management plan?
18	A. They should.
19	Q. When you train the plan writers, is that
20	590, those 590 standards part of what you train them
21	on?
22	A. Part of it. But we basically train them
23	from the economic point of view what they should do,
24	and then also to comply with regulations.
25	MR. GRAVES: I don't know how far we are on

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

ZHANG, HAILIN

1/16/2008

- A. The plan is specific for individual producer or poultry farmer. So the developer or plan writer would inspect the fields and make some recommendations.
  - Q. And are --
  - A. And what BMP to use.
- Q. So are they looking at how deep the soil is, how rocky the soil is, how steep the topography is, all of those things you have just listed, are those things that they are looking at, whether or not they actually end up applying to that particular plot of land?
- A. They should consider those factors. Those information should be available from a soil map.
- Q. And then the other things you mentioned, the timing and the soil and litter testing, in those types of issues are all things that are also included within the plan writing process; correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Are there any best management plan considerations that you're aware of that are not at least considerations in the plan writing process for nutrient management plan?
  - A. Could you repeat your question?
  - Q. Are you aware of any -- of what you would

#### ZHANG, HAILIN

110

1/16/2008

BY MR. MCDANIEL:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

- Good afternoon, Dr. Zhang.
- Good afternoon. Α.
- I'm Scott McDaniel and I'm an attorney and I Q. represent Peterson Farms, Inc. My objective is going to be to not re-cover the same topics that you covered with Mr. Graves, but I may to a little bit extent jump around.

So I would appreciate a little patience bearing with me, because I want to hit on a couple of topics that you may have talked about, but maybe I have additional question or two that I would like to address.

Let me hand you what I have marked as Exhibit 9 to your deposition, and I pulled that down off of your web page at OSU, and I'm offering it. You and Mr. Graves have already talked about your educational experience and your background and your job titles at OSU, I just want to ask you if these three pages accurately reflect your work experience, your educational attainment and your position at Oklahoma State University.

(Exhibit 9 marked for identification)

- Α. Yes.
- All right. Go ahead and set that aside Q.

1/16/2008

then, thank you. You made a statement in the last few moments you were talking with Mr. Graves, and you said that you recommend that poultry litter be utilized as a fertilizer and applied at agronomic rates. Am I quoting you accurately?

- A. Yes.
- Q. All right. The poultry litter contains a number of substances that are beneficial to either plant life or to the soil. Would you agree?
  - A. I agree.
- Q. Can you go through and list, I think we are all familiar with the, what are called the macronutrients or primary nutrients, nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium.

Beyond that, what are the additional components of poultry litter that are either beneficial to growing crops or the soil structure itself?

A. Well, plants need 16 essential nutrients to grow. Poultry litter contains all of these 16, including those macronutrients you mentioned, such as secondary nutrients, calcium, magnesium, sulphur, micronutrients, copper, zinc, iron, boron, manganese, carbon, hydrogen, oxygen. Those are -- plants can get from water and air.

ZHANG, HAILIN

1/16/2008

	112
1	Q. Right.
2	A. In addition, soil organic matter would
3	improve soil quality and enhance plant growth.
4	Q. The organic matter that is in poultry
5	litter?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. In a general sense, what comprises the
8	organic matter in poultry litter?
9	A. The building materials, whether it is wood
10	shavings, sawdust, they are organic matters. The
11	feces, urines do contain other organic compounds, too.
12	Q. All right. The compounds that you
13	identified and you listed for us, those can be
14	utilized by growing plants; is that correct?
15	A. The nutrients, yes.
16	Q. All right. But poultry litter improves the
17	structure of soil and its ability to yield crops in a

number of ways; is that true? Α. Yes.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Can you describe what other mechanisms are at play that allow poultry litter to provide improvements to the soil structure?
- By adding organic matter to the soil would improve soil structure. Poultry -- those organic matters also help retain more water, improve soil

1/16/2008

moisture conditions.

So basically it is the rule of organic matter improving soil structure.

- Q. All right. I have read some papers and I have seen the statement that organic fertilizer such as poultry litter improves the tilth, t-i-l-t-h, of soil. Can you tell us what that term means?
- A. Tilth means, it is usually means to cultivate tilth. It has a bit of structure, like granule structure, it is easy to work with.
- Q. Put in simple terms, if I was plowing the field, if it had good tilth, I could pull my plow through it easier and turn the soil easier, is that what you're suggesting?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. So if you were -- if you take soil analysis of a field in order to evaluate whether to utilize poultry litter, or if so at what rates to utilize the poultry litter, is it conceivable that there could be plenty of copper or calcium in the soil, but the soil could be deficient in phosphorous or potassium and therefore the poultry litter could provide a benefit if it was applied?

MR. NANCE: Object to the form. Calls for speculation.

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1/16/2008

114

- Q. (BY MR. MCDANIEL) It is a hypothetical. Do you understand my question?
  - A. Repeat it again.
- Q. All right. Let me try approaching it in a way that maybe is clearer, if I'm being confusing.

If you want to raise a crop or forage, for instance, let's just say Bermuda grass, for example, since that's common in eastern Oklahoma and western Arkansas, is that true, is that a common pasture grass?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And as a soil scientist and your expertise in agronomy, you know in order to maximize the yield for Bermuda grass, the soil should have a certain chemical profile, there would be an optimal chemical profile to get the optimal yield; is that true?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. And what we are talking about today is, in nutrient management planning, we are trying to balance the desire to get the maximum yield against the need to protect natural resources from contamination. Is that from a policy standpoint, that's what your practice involves?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. All right. All right, poultry litter you

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

## ZHANG, HAILIN

1/16/2008

115

said provides all 16 --

- Α. Nutrients.
- Nutrients? Q.
- Uh-huh. Α.
- In our discussion, we have talked almost Q. exclusively about phosphorous. And what the questions, or what I want to inquire about, sir, is it conceivable that poultry litter is meeting agronomic needs to improve the yield of that crop in some of the other 15 nutrients besides phosphorous; correct?
- Correct. The plants can benefit any of the 16 if the soil cannot supply it. If you -- plants need a different amount of those 16 nutrients. If you base one nutrient to apply poultry litter, you may overapply some other nutrients.
- That's my point exactly. Now, in our Q. discussion we have talked about phosphorous being that limiting nutrient for environmental reasons; correct? That's why we talk about phosphorous is out of concern for water resources?
  - Correct, for surface water quality. Α.
- Ο. All right. If -- I'm trying to understand what agronomic rate really means in your business. If -- if you determined that the soil had sufficient potassium in the soil, therefore the potassium

treatments with poultry litter, two tons per acre and four tons per acre.

So if you look at that column, tons per acre, the last column is the protein percentage, okay. So the yields refer to the middle column. They were higher with poultry litter than commercial fertilizer.

It is not exactly the same amount of nitrogen the quality referred to the protein content. The poultry litter treated plots had a higher protein content than controlled -- than ammonium nitrate fertilizer fields.

- Q. Okay. If this Bermuda is for grazing beef cattle, is an increased protein content a good thing?
- A. Yes, protein is important ingredient of food for cattle.
- Q. I noticed in looking at that table 3 where there was the application at two tons per acre produced 3.54 tons per acre of Bermuda; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. When the application went to four tons per acre, the yield increased to 4.82 tons?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. You can set that aside, sir.

  Dr. Zhang, let me hand you what I have marked as

ZHANG, HAILIN

1/16/2008

167

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

- Q. Would you agree, Dr. Zhang, that that is a site specific question?
- A. Correct. That in order to -- for the phosphorous to get into water, there must be two conditions met. The source factor, the high phosphorous in the soil and the transport factor. So some mechanism to carry phosphorous from the soil to the water. If you just have a source, no transport mechanism, maybe it is not going to impact the water.
- Q. Is sediment from erosion a significant source of phosphorous loading of surface waters?
- A. Not in pasture area. For cultivated fields, yes. For pasture, mostly water soluble phosphorous.
- Q. And is part of the reason for that answer because pasture has a stand of grass that reduces surface water movement, reduces erosion?
  - A. Right.
  - **Q.** And transport?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. If pastures are not maintained and fertilized in order to maintain a good stand of forage and it is allowed to degrade, is there an increased risk of increased sediment loss from those fields?
  - A. Yes.

33 ZHANG, HAILIN

168

1/16/2008

And would that have a adverse environmental 1 Q. 2 impact on the waters of the state? 3 Yes. Α. 4 Sir, Dr. Zhang, as the State's Nutrient Q. 5 Management Specialist, have you come to an opinion 6 that the land application, the practice of land 7 applying poultry litter in the Illinois River Watershed should be banned 100 percent? 9 Α. Well, from the agronomic point of view, if 10 the soil test indicates there is a need for nutrients, 11 no, they should not be banned. If all of the 12 nutrients are met, I mean maybe some fields it could 13 be avoided to apply. 14 All right. To your knowledge, sir, has Q. 15 Oklahoma State University issued any opinion or made 16 any determination that the practice of the land 17 application of poultry litter in the Illinois River 18 Watershed should be banned? 19 Α. I'm not aware of any. No. 20 You said this morning that you had an Q. 21 opportunity to review an affidavit prepared by 22 Dr. Gordon Johnson? 23 Α. Yes. 24 Q. Did you consult with Dr. Johnson at all in

the work he conducted in order to prepare that

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### ZHANG, HAILIN

1/16/2008

205

MR. GRAVES: Object to the form.

- Q. (BY MR. NANCE) Is that the eutrophication that we talked about before?
- A. Improper use can result in environment damage. Eutrophication is one of them, yes.
- Q. All right. Professor, let's turn over to page 2 real quickly. Do you see in the left-hand column the bolded heading Why Does Soil P Increase?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Let me read the first sentence and then let's talk about that. "In many areas of intensive livestock and poultry production, manure normally is applied at rates designed to meet crop nitrogen in requirements." Did I read that correctly?
- A. This was true at the time when this was written.
- Q. Okay. First of all, tell me what you mean when you say meet crop nitrogen requirements, and then we will talk about how it has changed?
- A. They calculate application rates based on how much nitrogen the crop need, instead of based on phosphorous needs of the crop to calculate how much poultry litter to apply.
- Q. Okay. And how has that changed since this was written?